Case B: W/PH is on the upward part of the supply and W/PL is on the vertical portion.. W/PH <Wk and Wk =or < W/PL< Wc, so L= Lo.

It is easily verified that in Case A, holding Me constant and reducing ML, W is decreased. limit to how low ML can be and still be in Case A is when W/PL = Wk.

For values of ML less than this critical value, the model changes regime to Case B, as long lower values of PL are such that W/PL are < or = Wc, the competitive market clearing rea wage.

For values of ML less than this second critical value of ML, the model changes regime to (

$$E\Pi(X) := (X) \cdot \left[ (Lo)^{\left(\theta\right)} - \frac{W}{PL} \cdot Lo \right] + (1 - X) \cdot \left[ \left( \frac{W}{PH(X)} \right)^{\left(\theta \cdot \psi\right)} - \frac{W}{PH(X)} \cdot \left( \frac{W}{PH(X)} \right)^{\psi} \right]$$
$$\frac{d}{dW} E\Pi(X) \rightarrow \frac{-X}{PL} \cdot Lo + (1 - X) \cdot \left[ \left( \frac{W}{PH(X)} \right)^{\theta \cdot \psi} \cdot \theta \cdot \frac{\psi}{W} - \frac{1}{PH(X)} \cdot \left( \frac{W}{PH(X)} \right)^{\psi} - \frac{1}{PH(X)} \cdot \left( \frac{W}{PH(X)} \right)^{\psi} \right]$$

$$0 = \frac{d}{dW} E\Pi(X) \text{ solve, } W \rightarrow$$

This generates "no solution was found" because the optimal wage is at the boundry where Wk = W/PL. The first term is neg. In order to verify this differentiate the la term.

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dW}} \left[ \left( \frac{\mathrm{W}}{\mathrm{PH}(\mathrm{X})} \right)^{\theta \cdot \psi} \cdot \theta \cdot \frac{\psi}{\mathrm{W}} - \frac{1}{\mathrm{PH}(\mathrm{X})} \cdot \left( \frac{\mathrm{W}}{\mathrm{PH}(\mathrm{X})} \right)^{\psi} - \frac{1}{\mathrm{PH}(\mathrm{X})} \cdot \left( \frac{\mathrm{W}}{\mathrm{PH}(\mathrm{X})} \right)^{\psi} \cdot \psi \right] \rightarrow \left( \frac{\mathrm{W}}{\mathrm{PH}(\mathrm{X})} \right)^{\theta}$$

Because  $\theta \cdot \psi < 1$  this must be negative for all W. Consider that Case A is gererated by low M's "clos lower values ML are chosen, then the opt.W in Case A become larger until the point when W/PL= Wk critical value the opt.nominal wage will not change so long as Case B applies, but the real wage W/P generate lower and lower valuesof PL. I will solve for the critical value of ML and Thereby detremine

Again solve (19) and (20) for PL in terms of ML and W and PH in terms of MH and W, and substute into the opt. W equ. above.For X = .2

$$X := .2$$

$$Y := (1 - k) \cdot \frac{M}{P}$$

$$Y := \left(\frac{W}{P}\right)^{\left(\theta \cdot \psi\right)} PH := \left[(1 - k) \cdot MH \cdot W^{-\left(\theta \cdot \psi\right)}\right]^{\frac{1}{\left(1 - \theta \cdot \psi\right)}}$$

$$Lo := .5$$

$$k := .5$$

$$\theta := .6$$

$$\psi := .9$$

$$Wk := (Lo)^{\frac{1}{\psi}}$$

$$PL := (1 - k) \cdot ML \cdot Lo^{-\left(\theta\right)}$$

$$ML := 3.415$$

$$MH(X) := \frac{(Me - X \cdot ML)}{(1 - X)}$$

$$YL := Lo^{\theta}$$

$$Wk = 0.463$$

$$\mathrm{MH}(\mathrm{X}) = 3.752$$

This is the solution for case A at the lower bound where regimes shift. We verify that this occurs at ML= 3.41.

$$f(W) := W - \exp\left[\frac{\left[\left(1-k\right)\cdot\frac{MH(X)}{W^{\left(\theta\cdot\psi\right)}}\right]^{\frac{1}{\left(1-\theta\cdot\psi\right)}} \cdot \exp\left[\psi\cdot\ln\left[\frac{\left(1-k\right)\cdot\frac{M}{W}\right]^{\frac{1}{\left(1-k\right)\cdot\frac{M}{W}}}{\left(\left(1-k\right)\cdot\frac{MH(X)}{W^{\left(\theta\cdot\psi\right)}}\right]^{\frac{1}{\left(1-\theta\cdot\psi\right)}}} \cdot \frac{1}{\left(1-k\right)\cdot\frac{MH(X)}{W^{\left(\theta\cdot\psi\right)}}\right]^{\frac{1}{\left(1-\theta\cdot\psi\right)}}}\right]$$

$$PL := (1 - k) \cdot ML \cdot Lo^{-(\theta)}$$

PL varies directly with ML in case B. But at this critcal value of ML the same value of PL is generated as when

$$\mathsf{PL} = \left[ (1-k) \cdot \frac{\mathsf{ML}}{\mathsf{W}^{(\theta \cdot \psi)}} \right]^{\frac{1}{(1-\theta \cdot \psi)}}$$

$$root(f(W), W) = 1.198$$

$$W := root(f(W), W) \quad W = 1.198$$

$$PH(X) := \left[ (1-k) \cdot \frac{MH(X)}{W^{(\theta \cdot \psi)}} \right]^{\frac{1}{(1-\theta \cdot \psi)}}$$

$$PH(X) = 3.177$$
  $PL = 2.588$   $Pe := X \cdot PL + (1 - X) \cdot PH(X)$ 

Pe = 3.059 wc :=  $\theta \cdot Lo^{(\theta-1)}$   $\frac{W}{Pe} = 0.392$  W = 1.198 wc = 0.792  $\frac{W}{PH(X)} = 0.377$ wk :=  $(Lo)^{\Psi}$  wk = 0.463  $\frac{W}{PL} = 0.463$ 

I verified that for X = .2 that the choice of ML = 3.415 and Me= 3.685 will generate W/PL = wk and that the opt. nominal wage is W = 1.196 in Case B.for all values of ML < 3.415. X = .001 is only of interest in case A as a benchmark for the det. case.

$$LH(X) := \left(\frac{W}{PH(X)}\right)^{\Psi} LL := \left(\frac{W}{PL}\right)^{\Psi}$$
$$LH(X) := \left[(1-k) \cdot \frac{MH(X)}{PH(X)}\right]^{\frac{1}{\theta}} LH(X) = 0.416$$
$$LL = 0.500$$

 $\begin{aligned} & YH(X) := (1-k) \cdot \frac{MH(X)}{PH(X)} & YL := (1-k) \cdot \frac{ML}{PL} & LH(X)^{\theta} = 0.591 \\ & YH(X) = 0.591 & YL = 0.660 & LL^{\theta} = 0.660 \end{aligned}$ 

The

ع as the را

Case C.

$$\left(\frac{W}{PH(X)}\right)^{\psi} \cdot \psi \right]$$

$$\overset{\text{i}}{\Psi} \cdot \theta^2 \cdot \frac{\psi^2}{W^2} - \left(\frac{W}{PH(X)}\right)^{\theta \cdot \Psi} \cdot \theta \cdot \frac{\psi}{W^2} - \frac{1}{PH(X)} \cdot \left(\frac{W}{PH(X)}\right)^{\Psi} \cdot \frac{\psi}{W} - \frac{1}{PH(X)} \cdot \left(\frac{W}{PH(X)}\right)^{\Psi} \cdot \frac{\psi^2}{W}$$

e" to Me. Holding Me constant, as lower and s is reached.For value of ML lower than this L will increase as ML continues to decrease and the value of W for Case B.





$$\frac{\left[ (1-k) \cdot \frac{MH(X)}{W^{\left(\theta \cdot \psi\right)}} \right]^{\left(1-\theta \cdot \psi\right)}}{\left[ (1-k) \cdot \frac{ML}{W^{\left(\theta \cdot \psi\right)}} \right]^{\left(1-\theta \cdot \psi\right)}} \right] + \theta \cdot \psi \cdot \ln \left[ \frac{\left[ (1-k) \cdot \frac{MH(X)}{W^{\left(\theta \cdot \psi\right)}} \right]^{\left(1-\theta \cdot \psi\right)}}{\left[ (1-k) \cdot \frac{ML}{W^{\left(\theta \cdot \psi\right)}} \right]^{\left(1-\theta \cdot \psi\right)}} \right]} - \frac{1}{\left[ (1-k) \cdot \frac{ML}{W^{\left(\theta \cdot \psi\right)}} \right]^{\left(1-\theta \cdot \psi\right)}} - \frac{1}{\left[ (1-k) \cdot \frac{ML}{W^{\left(\theta \cdot \psi\right)}} \right]} - \frac{1}{\left[ (1-k) \cdot \frac{ML}{W^{\left(\theta \cdot \psi\right)}} - \frac{1}{\left[ (1-k) \cdot \frac{ML}{W^{\left(\theta \cdot \psi\right)}} \right]} - \frac{1}{\left[ (1-k) \cdot \frac{ML}{W^{\left(\theta \cdot \psi\right)}} \right]} - \frac{1}{\left[ (1-k) \cdot \frac{ML}{W^{\left(\theta \cdot \psi\right)}} - \frac{1}{\left[ (1-k) \cdot \frac{ML}{W^{\left(\theta \cdot \psi\right)}} \right]} - \frac{1}{\left[ (1-k) \cdot \frac{ML}{W^{\left(\theta \cdot \psi\right)}} - \frac{1}{\left[ (1-k) \cdot \frac{ML}{W^{\left(\theta \cdot \psi\right)} - \frac{1}{\left[$$

 $\frac{1}{\left(1-\theta\cdot\psi\right)}$